Application No. Applicant(s) 09/645.376 PROBASCO, MICHAEL Interview Summary SCOTT Examiner Art Unit PAUL CALLAHAN 2437 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Paul Callahan. (3)____. (4).____. (2) Drew Leyes. Date of Interview: 21 July 2008. Type: a) ▼ Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 4,7 and 14. Identification of prior art discussed: Wasilewski US 5,870,474, Cordery US 6,480,831. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Agreement was reached that the applied references fail to teach the claimed step of a request for a new key being sent based upon an unsuccessful comparison between a received key hash and a stored plurality of key hashes. The Examiner agreed to lift finality in the next Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS. INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.